1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA 10 RONALD ROLLINGS, Case No. C06-5574FDB 11 Plaintiff, REPORT AND 12 RECOMMENDATION v. 13 P.A. THOMPSON, et al., Noted for April 13, 2007 14 Defendants. 15 16 This matter comes before the court upon plaintiff's failure to provide the Clerk with the required 17 service copies of his complaint. 18 On November 2, 2006, the court issued an order directing service of the complaint after plaintiff 19 submitted to the court clerk the required U.S. Marshals forms and documentation (summonses and copies 20 of the complaint) needed for service. See Doc. 8. The court directed plaintiff to submit the required 21 documentation by not later than November 24, 2006, and it informed plaintiff that without the appropriate 22 service copies, the matter was subject to dismissal for failure to prosecute. To date the court clerk has not 23 received the required documentation from plaintiff to complete service. This matter should be dismissed 24 for lack of prosecution. 25 **CONCLUSION** 26 Based on the foregoing, the Court should dismiss plaintiff's causes of action based on plaintiff's

failure to properly prosecute the matter. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal

27

28

Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties shall have ten (10) days from service of this Report to file written objections. See also Fed.R.Civ.P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Rule 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on April 13, 2007, as noted in the caption. DATED this 20th day of March, 2007. /s/ J. Kelley Arnold J. Kelley Arnold United States Magistrate Judge